Previous references.

Rev 116-92

~~Rev 153-94~~ 1898 ~~190~~

LR

~~(Rev. Miscellaneous)~~

31

File No. ~~226~~ of 1895-96

168

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1–8

B

PART B FOR

Discontinued

Annexed

SUBJECT.

Claim of Bashkaraiya to a tope in Bommenhalli village, Nagamangala Taluk

1.	From Bhaskaraiya of Mysore, Petition dated the 12.8.95 States that though a Muchalika was taken from him in respect of his tope in Bommenhalli, the authorities have not as yet assessed the tope, and prays for an investigation order
2.	To the Dy Comr of Mysore, R. Dis. No Rel 1606 d/the 5.3.96 Forwards the above petition for a report in detail on the circumstances of the case
3.	From the Dy Comr Mysore &c No 1123 d/the 20.1.97 Reports on the above petition
4.	To the Dy Comr Mysore, Docket No 2861 d/the 15.9.97 Remarks that the information contained in the above report is incomplete and calls for a complete report

G. R. S. 52.

P.T.O.

Previous references.

31

File No. [illegible] of 1895-96

1895

1898

190

LR

(Miscellaneous)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–8

B

PART B FOR

2nd exd

SUBJECT.

Claim of Badiharaiya to a tope in Bommenhalli village, Nagamangala Taluk

1. From Bhaskaraiya of Mysore, Petition dated the 12.3.95
   States that though a Muchalika was taken from him in respect of his tope in Bommenhalli, the authorities have not as yet assessed the tope, and prays for an investigation order
2. To the Dy Comr of Mysore, R. No. Rot 1606 of the 5.3.96
   Forwards the above petition for a report in detail on the circumstances of the case
3. From the Dy Comr Mysore Dis No. 1123 of the 20.4.97
   Reports on the above petition
4. R. S. 95
   To the Dy Comr Mysore, Docket No. 2[illegible] of the 15.9.97
   Remarks that the information contained in the above report is incomplete and calls for a complete report

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Regarding the Tope claimed by one Bhaskaraiya of Bellur, Nelamangala Taluk -

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 1123 dated 20th January 1897 from the Dy Commr, Bellary

@ Paper no. 14 as marked in red ink —

In July 1883, Bhaskaraiya requested that the Tope situated by his ancestors in the Bommenahalli village, Velligere hobli, Nelamangala Taluk, might be assessed and registered in his name. The Sheristar reported that the Tope which bore nos. 77 & measured 6-1-2 K B P, belonged to Bhaskaraiya's family, that during the Inam Settlement the petitioner's right had been recognized, and that there was no objection to comply with the Petitioner's request.

* Para. 15

In a subsequent report, the

@ Para. 16

* S. No. 2. Extent. A G. 5-28

2

Ahikaar further stated that as similar Tapes in the neighbourhood were assessed and granted to the claimants concerned, the Petr also claimed the Tape in question, & that he would repair the Kattè attached to the Tape and raise wet crops on the Tape land.

On 8th Sept 1933, the Amildar sent for the Petr in person, & P. no. 16½ who produced the following 2 documents:—

(1) An endorsement & July 1862, No 5844, granted to the Petr's father Anelè Pathaiyar by the late Dalta gram Division Supdt, informing him that the Tape filanted by his ancestors on the road side at Pammanahalli, was accepted. & S. no. 27/4.

(2) Endorsement No 94 & 25th Feb: 1872 issued to the Petitioner from the Nagamangala Taluk informing him that the repair of the Kattè could not be undertaken by Govt.

The Amildar rejected the application on the ground that the above two documents were not sufficient to establish the

amicable settlement of the complaint prepared by him against certain persons for cutting branches & mango trees in the * Please see Rajakaranam (P. no. 12)

& P. no. 17

right of the Petitioner to the Topes.

2. In September 1883, the Petitioner again represented ± to the Amildar that several Topes in the Bellur Kasba village were assessed and given to the parties concerned under the authority of the Govt cir: order of 1880, that another Tope of his own in the same village was similarly assessed and registered in his name, that the Tope in question was in the enjoyment of his ancestors from time to time, & also in his enjoyment, that a large amount had been spent on it as well as on the Kattè, & that no Inam land had been granted therefor. He therefore requested that his application might be granted. In Feb: 1884 the Petr again represented the matter.

± P.no.19

3. In Oct: 1883, the Amildar submitted the papers for the orders of the Subdivision Officer, French Rocks, who enquired as to the authority

+ P.no.22

(7)

Office Note Continue 7

Petitioner's name alone on the ground that he (Amildas) found that the Tope had been formerly registered in the name of 3 persons including the Petr. The correspondence which led to the issue of the endorsement above referred to, may clearly shows why the Petr's application was rejected for the 2d time. A draft is submitted requesting the Dy Commr to send up the correspondence referred to in the Endorsement

DB 11/9/97

submitted to Secretary VC 11/9/97

draft approved 13-9-97

6. In accordance with the foregoing orders, the Deputy Commissioner was asked to send up the correspondence of 1890-92 relating to the case.

7. In submitting the above correspondence, the Deputy Commissioner reported that the Tope claimed

× Vide docket No. 2861 / RF 116-92 dated 15-9-97.

× Vide No. 695 dt. 10/12/97

(11) 11

dry wood may be taken, that the Tope may be improved and that it shall be subject to any order which Government may be pleased to make regarding it.

Secretary
Submitted for orders.

It will be observed from para 2 of Conf. Pro: No 12534-44 dated 24th January 1895 (Serial No 28) that the order of 1880 (1/10) for making over the topes recently planted to such of the Planters as may come forward to pay the assessment of the land from the date of planting the topes, has been cancelled. Inasmuch so, it is doubtful how the present claim can be admitted, especially when both the Sub Division Officer & the Commissioner say that it is inadvisable to grant the tope on assessment.

vide Sl 15 R.M. 116 of 92-93

Q2 116-92

I think the claim is premature. The application for the land was made in 1889 & cannot be rebelled.

Sd Bowyer
E Dn C

3-8-98.

Received back & submitted to 2nd Councillor as directed by the secretary on 30-7-98 from the Personal Clerk & Rev. Councillor resp. to the

177 - Miss

30/7/98

30/7/98

12-7-98

under Article
4 the other Topes referred to were
assessed and given to the claimants.

The Amildar reported that
as per orders issued by the
Genl Asstt Commr in April
1882, the Topes were assessed
and registered in the names
of the parties who were entitled
thereto. The Sub Division
officer, Francis Rocks, then
ordered the Tope in question
being assessed and given to
the applicant provided he
executed a Muchalika to
the following effect, viz
that the Pet should remove
the dried trees and plant new
ones in their place, that he
should carefully preserve
all the trees in the Tope,
and that should he fell
other trees without permission,
he should pay five equal
to 5 times their value & thereon
and relinquish the Tope to
Govt. The Sub division officer
further directed that the
matter should be brought
to notice at the time of Survey

& No 23/121 (Pro. 10/4).

Note The order is to the effect
that if Haldars are forth-
coming and agree to pay
assessment from the
date on which their Topes
were resumed to Govt,
such Topes may be
assessed & registered in
their names —

± Pro 22/2

settlement and the right to
the trees reserved to Govt."

& P. no. 25 4. The Petr having refused to abide by the terms proposed by the Sub Division officer, on the ground that other Pattas were granted without any conditions, the Amildar reported to the Sub Division officer that the previous instances cited by the Petr had nothing to do with the present case, that it was not advisable to grant the Patta subject to no terms. The Sub Division officer agreed with the Amildar and informed him that if the Petr did not execute the Muchalika as required, the Patta need not be assessed & given to him.

✓ P. no 24.

P. no. 26 The Petitioner was accordingly informed in June 1884.

5. In December 1884 the

× P. no 29. Petitioner began to repeat his application for the Patta, and in June 1885 he

& P. no 30 finally agreed to execute a Muchalika as required

Serials

10

1897–98.

4338
K 2654

(21)

From

The Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District.

LR
31-95-5

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 10
Despatched } December 1897
Received

Enclosures No. Copy of letter, Petition No 774 with 3 Annexures

General No. 695-

Reports on petition of Khaderiah of Bellur Nagar municipal taluk

Petition
15/12/97

Read 17/12
Recd in Revenue 18/12

SS Customs Note 21/12/97

Ref put up
21/12

Draft Govt calling for further information.

28/12/98

by the Sub Division officer
in 1934. In August 1989
the Mutchalika was exe-
cuted on a Stamp paper * Pno 33.
of 8 annas, and the Amildar
submitted it to the Sub Division
officer, who requested the * Pno 33/3.
Supdt of Rev Survey to treat
the Tope as a private one belonging to Bhadrarayas
and make a record of it in
the accounts. The Survey
Supdt accordingly made the * Pno 33/4.
necessary alteration in the
Phasal Patrike, and the
Sub division officer directed
the Amildar to give effect
to it. The correspondence
which subsequently took
place is not forthcoming
in the file, But the endorse-
-ment granted to the Petitioner
by the Amildar in Feb: 1892
which he produced with
his Petition to this office
of 12th Aug: 1895 & which shews that
the Amildar declined to
register the Tope in the

was also requested to send up the Zamani Shanbag Patkar together with his opinion as to whether the Tope could be assessed and granted to Bhaskaraiya when it was reported to be useful to travellers and "Cattle.

9. In reply the Deputy Commissioner reports that in the Register of Inams resumed in the Nagamangla Taluk, prepared in 1882, the Tope in question (Regd No 64) is shown as belonging to the petitioner and 2 others, viz; Kotappa and Seekanna and to have been resumed on the 11th May 1880, that Kotappa and Seekandiah are dead and that the only surviving members of their families is Kotappa's son Varadiah who wishes to have the Tope registered jointly in his own name and in that of his uncle Bhaskaraya

× Vide Reg. No 64 & 29/1/8.

Vide Regr. Vol. III.

10 Bhaskaraiya (Petitioner).

The Deputy Commissioner States that he Concurs in the opinion of the Sub Division officer of French Rocks that it is inadvisable to grant the Tope on assessment as it is useful to travellers and Cattle.

10. In Column 12 of the Register of Inams renewed (Case No. 64), Bhaskaraiya, Kotappa and Seethaniah are shown as Inamdars and in Col. 13, the Tope is shown to have been resumed on 11th May 1880, the reason not being stated.

11. The Enami Phaisal × vide 12. Vide Patrik (Case No 2) shows that the Tope has been registered as Bhaskaraiya's hukdary property as per French Rocks Sub Division Officer's No 567 dated 9th September 1889, and a remark is made to the effect that no green trees should be cut, that

dry

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 774

Name of Petitioner.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi. 12-8-95

Date of Receipt. 16-8-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 7

ABSTRACT.

Calendar the
Dep comm to
report in default
authorities of
Mrs Cash.
WHB
27/4/96

Re Dept
will submit
a memo to
effect under
No 12534-44 of
116-92
Mr 24th June 95
has been carried
out in Universal
Drills. Yours
reminders
& re that there
is real hope
in the matter
WHB

See orders 27/4/96
also Mayne
Dep comm letter
1029 of 4th April
1895. WHB
29/4/96

Re Brenda
1537
22.7.96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

LR
31-95-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition

Registered No. 774 dated 12-8-9

received at the Dewan's Office from

Bhaskaraiah of Bellur, residen

in Nelvaig in Kolla Mysore

complaining that his hope

at Bommanahally has not

been assessed ~~though it has~~ assessed

~~Ruchikka was taken from~~

~~for kaisuy his hope assessed~~

is forwarded, together with its Seven

enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District

for ~~disposal~~ report in detail

on the circumstances of the

case

2 The petition with its

enclosures should be returned

By order,

C 2

draft

3.3.96

BANGALORE,

4-3-1896

for Chief Secretary.

5

M.G.C.P.B.—25-1-95—500

4 c 263

Serial 5

Gen. 31]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 455
2 JAN 97
R 3568
DEWAN'S OFFICE

LR

3/From 95-5

The Deputy Commissioner
Bijapore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Revenue and General Depts

Dated 20th January 1897.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1123
No.

Enclosure No. Petition No. 774 with 3 : Mahazar : Cadan account

Reporting on the petition of Bhau Kanish of Bellur in the Nagamangala taluk.

Submitted with reference to the Revenue Council's order in petn 774 of 12-8-95

Petn Branch
22/1/97
M
22/1

Petitions are in Rev Branch. Transferred to Rev Branch
M 28/1/1897

Rep 3K

Sabs
- vide the Circle office rept no 7745/1-9/95-96
8/2

Rec'd 23/1

This report is silent about the usefulness or otherwise of the work to travellers (vide para 5 of the order of 24 January 1895) - Q. 6/4/97

Rev Branch
5.2.97

No. 1123.
Ex. 8 and
Canarese papers. 3

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 20th January 1876.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. Rev. 1606 dated the 4th March 1876, forwarding for report the petition of Bhaskaraiah & Beller complaining that his tope at Bommanahalli in the Nagamangala taluk has not been assessed and registered in his name, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. On the 24th July 1873 the petitioner applied to the Amildar of Nagamangala that a tope, his ancestral property, situated in Bommanahalli of the Nelligere hobli, might be assessed and registered in his name. He produced the following papers in support of his claim.

i. Endorsement No. 5811 of 1852 from the Superintendent of the Sakrayapatna Division to Cinché Puttayya, petitioner's father.

ii. Endorsement No. 94 of the 28th February 1872 from the Nagamangala taluk.

3. The Amildar not finding the above documents as affording sufficient proof for registering the tope in question in petitioner's name, rejected his application and directed that the produce of the tope should be

1889

be sold as usual for the benefit of Government.

4. On the 18th September 1889 the petitioner repeated his application stating that the tope and the kuti by the side of it were in the possession of his ancestors who had borne the cost of both of them, that the shanbhogue and the ryots of the place knew the fact very well and that persons holding similar topes in the same taluk had been shown the concession prayed for.

5. On the 19th February 1891 the petitioner urged his claim for the third time. The records show that on a report of the matter, the Sub-Division Officer Mr V. P. Madhava Rau directed, in his order No. 44 dated the 27th April 1891, that the tope might be made over on kandayam to the petitioner if he should execute a muchalika to the following effect, namely, that green trees should not be cut down by him, that new trees should be planted in place of old ones fallen down, that the trees should always be preserved in good condition, that should he fell any tree without permission, he should be liable to pay a fine equal to five times the value of the tree so felled. The petitioner consented to take up the tope on the terms laid down by the Sub-Division Officer and gave a stamped muchalika to that effect on the 9th August 1891.

6. On receipt of the muchalika and the report of the Sub-Division Officer (in his No. 56 dated the 9th September 1891), the Survey Superintendent

11

No. 695

Enclosures No. Petition with Enclosures ~~records~~ & Copy of letter.

OFFICE OF THE Dy. Commr.
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore, 10th 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your docket No. 2861 R.F. 116.92 dated 15th September 1897, regarding the petition of Thas Reriah of Bellur, complaining that his hukdari tope in the Bommanahully Village, Nagamungala taluk, has not been assessed and registered in his name, I have the honor in resubmitting herewith the records connected with the case to state that the correspondence of 1891-92, referred to in the Amildar's Endorsement No. 536, dated 29th February 1892, has since been filed with the same, and that no further correspondence appears to have passed in the matter.

2. The tope in question is reported to be useful to travellers during the hot weather and also to the Village cattle to which it affords shelter.

3 A Copy of the French Rocks Subdivision officer's letter No. 721, dated 29th ultimo, on the subject is also submitted for

Serial 6

Draft for approval

S

(24)

LR
31-95-Jo.

The Dy Commr
Mysore District

Dated 19/1/98
No 6551 Lnd/W.P.
Rt. 116-92.

Dy Commrs letter No 6958/1 December 1897. Submitting further reference in connection with the Jote in the Bommenahalli village, Nagmangala Taluk, claimed by one Bhaskaraya of Bellur.

Referring to the above, has the honor to observe that the papers now submitted give no information beyond the more fact that the application of Bhaskaraya for the registry of the Jote in his name was rejected because

14

because it was shewn in the accounts as belonging to 3 individuals including the Petitioner. The Dy Commr is therefore requested to obtain and submit the accounts in which the lope is shewn as belonging to 3 individuals, and also report what the other two persons or their representatives in title say as regards the grant of the lope to Bhaskaraiya on the conditions mentioned in his petition. The Sarvani Phaisal Patrike received from the Surveyor Supdt should also be submitted for reference, with the Dy Commrs own opinion as to whether the lope can be granted to Bhaskaraiya, when it is reported to be useful to travellers and cattle.

2. The enclosures are returned.

"By Order"

10-1-98

Secretary

95

7/1/98

6/1/98

14

because it was shewn in the accounts as belonging to 3 individuals including the Petitioner. The Dy Commr is therefore requested to obtain and submit the accounts in which the lope is shewn as belonging to 3 individuals, and also report what the other two persons or their representatives in title say as regards the grant of the lope to Bhaskaraiya on the conditions mentioned in his petition. The Sarvani Phaisal Patrike received from the Surveyor Supdt should also be submitted for reference, with the Dy Commrs own opinion as to whether the lope can be granted to Bhaskaraiya, when it is reported to be useful to travellers and cattle.

2. The enclosures are returned.

"By Order"

10-1-98

Secretary

95

7/1/98

6/1/98

Serial Draft as per orders 20

(31)

LR
31-95-8

Read —

Correspondence ending with letter no. 64 dated 20th July 1898 from the Deputy Commr, Mysore district, regarding the Tope claimed by one Bhaskaraiya of Bellur as his ancestral property in the Bommenahalli village, Nagamangala Taluk

No 2748 dy 4. 10. 98.
B7 116-92
Ex V.P. Lent with P.P. 4/11/98.

Order thereon:—

Survey no. 2, extent 5 A 6 G-28, in the Bommenahalli village represents the Tope in question. In 1883, Bhaskaraiya urged that it should be assessed and given to him. In 1884, the Sub Division officer, French Rocks, ordered that subject to certain conditions specified, the Tope might be granted to the Petitioner. The Petnr refused

caused the necessary entry of the petitioner's kuck to be made in the Kadani Pahaial patrika.

7. The Amildar without giving effect to the above order found that the tope had originally stood in the name of 3 individuals including the petitioner and that the other two viz: Pattayya and Sreeuntiah were not heard of by Known except by names in connection with the tope. He therefore issued an endorsement to the petitioner on the 22nd February 1892 stating that his request for registration of the tope exclusively in his name could not be complied with as no order was received to that effect.

8. Since the date of the above endorsement nothing appears to have transpired in the matter up to date of the petitioner's appeal to Government.

9. Of the seven enclosures submitted with the petition, six relate to the Khanbhagi of the Kadlada pirika of the Kelligeri hobli in the Krishnarajpet taluk having no manner of connection with the tope in question. The last enclosure, viz: Nagamangala Amildar's endorsement No. 330 dated the 29th February 1892, referred to above, is the only one bearing on the petition. It is not known why the other enclosures were submitted by the petitioner.

10. The petition and its enclosures received with the memorandum under reference and the remarkable correspondence bearing on the subject are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt. servant

[signature]

To The Deputy Commissioner of Tipoo

Serial 4 Draft for a/c

S

LR
31-95-4 To.

The Dy Commr
Mysore District.

Dated 15-9-97

No 28-61 in 8 Sheets
~~R.F. 222~~
R.F. 116-92

Dy Commr's letter No 1123
& 20th January 1897 reporting
upon the Petition of Bhadra-
-raiya of Bellur complaining
that his Hakdari Tope in
the Bommènahalli village,
Nagamangàla Taluk has
not been accessed & registered
in his name although he
executed a Mutchalika
as required by the Snb Dy
officer, French Rocks.

In returning the ver-
-nacular papers and the
Petition with its enclosures
received with the above,

has

9

has the honor to observe that the remarkable papers now sent up contain information only up to the 8th of Decr 1887, when the Amildar was directed by the Sub Dy officer to register the Tope in Bhaskarayja's name as decided and recorded in the Phaisal Patrike by the Survey Supdt, but what transpired thereafter Camatje ascertained therefrom.

The Dy Commr is therefore requested to submit the correspondence of 1890 & 1891 alluded to in the Endorsement granted to the Petr by the Amildar, No 5363 29th Feb 1892, which may throw light as to when & under what circumstances the Tope was registered in the name of 3 persons including Bhaskarayja, with a report as to the usefulness or otherwise of the Tope to the public or to travellers.

"By order"

13-7-97

Secretary

11/7/97 11/7/97

for information.

4. The petition and its enclosures received with your docket under reply are herewith returned to me.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt Servt

E Maitland

Deputy Commissioner

Niagara District

Compd
ucy

Serial 7

1898-94



From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

LR
31-95-7

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 20th
Despatched } July 1898.
Received

Enclosures No. Copy of letter and file of vernacular correspondence

General No. 64.

Submitting further report about the topes in the Bommenahalli village, Nagamangala Taluk.

Note 25/7/98

Submit file for orders

For Continuation of Note see Page 2

25/7/98

Office Note Continued on Sub 2

29/7/98.

2 to abide by the conditions prescribed. In 1889. the Petitioner however agreed to the Conditions and executed the necessary Mutchalika. Thereupon the Sub Division officer placed himself in Communication with the Superintendent of Rev: Survey and passed orders to delete entry ~~to~~ not the land relating to as a private Tope.

2. In February 1892, the Amildar issued an endorsement to the Petitioner declining to register the Tope in the Petitioner's name alone, on the ground that it had been formerly registered in the name of 3 persons including the Petr. In August 1895 the Petr represented the matter to Govt., and the Petn was sent to the Dy Commr for report.

3. From the Dy Commr's last report and the vernacular

claimed by Bhaskaraiya was reported to be useful to travellers during the hot weather and also to the village cattle to which it afforded shelter.

8. As the information given in the above did not show anything beyond the fact that the application of Bhaskaraiya for registry of the tope in his name was rejected because it was shown in the accounts as belonging to 3 hukdars including the petitioner, the Deputy Commissioner was asked * to obtain and submit the accounts in which the Tope was shown as belonging to 3 individuals, and to report what the other two persons or their representatives in interest said as regards the grant of the Tope to Bhaskaraiya. The Deputy Commissioner was

* Vide Govt. No. 6557 dt. 19/11/11 RF 116-92

No. 64

Enclosures No. 1 to 5.

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 20th July 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

According to your docket No. 655 - dated 17th R.D. 116-92
January 1895 calling for further report regarding the tope in the Bommenahalli village, Nagamangala Taluk, claimed by one Bhaskaraiya of Bellur, I have the honor to submit the accompanying copy of the French Rock's Sub-Division Officer's letter No. 37 dated 18th July 1898 together with its original enclosures on the subject.

2. It will be observed from the papers that in the Register of Inams received in the Nagamangala Taluk, prepared in 1882, the tope in question (registered as No. 61) is shown as belonging to the petitioner and 2 others, viz: Katappa and Lingappa and Kantaiya and to have been received on the 11th May 1865. Katappa and Kantaiya are dead and the only surviving member of their families is Katappa's son Varadiah who wishes to have the tope registered jointly in his own name and in that of his uncle Bhaskaraiya (petitioner).

3. I concur in the Sub-Division Officer's

opinion that it is inadvisable to grant the toje on assessment as it is useful to travellers and cattle.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

mm

No. 37

Sub. Division Office
French Rocks. Dated 10th July 1895.

From

The Sub-Division Officer
French Rocks.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sir,

In adverting to your memo No. 255 of the 2nd January last, forwarding for report copy of docket No. 6557 of the 13th idem from the Secretary to Government in the Revenue and General Departments in R. No. 116-92 re the tope in the Bomenahally village, Nagamangala taluk, claimed by one Bhaskaraiah of Bellur and subsequent reminders, I have the honour to state as follows:-

1. In column 12 of the Register of Inams re-named, Bhaskaraiah, Kotapa and Seshantah are shown as inamdars, and in column 13 the tope is shown to have been resumed on 11th May 1880, the reason not being stated.

2. Of the above 3 inamdars, two viz: Seshantah and Kotapa are dead. The former had no issue while the latter has left a son named Varadiah who says that the tope being his ancestral property, it should be registered both in his own and his uncle the complainant's name.

3. The Kannada phrased patrik shows that the tope has been registered as Bhaskaraiah's hereditary

proposing with the remark that it shall continue as such until otherwise ordered by Government.

4. Since the tope by its situation by the side of the Nagamangala - Bellur road is useful for travellers in the hot weather and affords shelter to the cattle of the Bellur village as reported in this office letter No. J 21 of 29th November 1897, I do not think it advisable to grant it on assessment to the petitioners.

5. The Inam Register and the Karnamiphaical patrik and the other vernacular file of papers received with the memo under acknowledgment are herewith retransmitted.

Thanks

Yours &c.

(Sd) K. Ramanujiengar

Sub-Division Officer

French Rocks.

Copy

(Sd) [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Compd:

[illegible]

papers received therewith, it
is observed that part of
Maje No. 77 (Inam) in the
Bommenahalli village
which was in the enjoy-
-ment of 3 Inamdars, viz
Bhaskaraiya, Kotappa
and Subbanthaiya, and which
is now reported to be the
Tope in question, was re-
-Sumed to Govt in May 1830
and that Kotappa and
Subbanthaiya are now
dead leaving Venkataiya the
former's Son, who requests
that the Tope should be re-
-gistered in his name also.
The Sub division officer,
French Rocks, is now of
opinion that the Tope Situ-
-ated as it is by the Side of
the Nagamangala-Bellur
road is useful to travellers
in the hot weather and affords
shelter to the cattle and that
therefore it is not advisable

to accept and grant it to the Petitioner. The Deputy Commr upholds the view taken by the Sub Division officer.

4. Govt observe that the claim was presumed in 1880 and that the action taken by the Local authorities in 1883 to 1889 cannot be upheld. It is now reported that the Tope is required to be treated as a Public Tope as it affords shelter to travellers and cattle. The Petr can have no right to it and his claim is accordingly rejected. The No of trees in the Tope should be counted and entered in the Patrani. The Amildar and the Jamabandi officer should inspect it and see that it is properly conserved and maintained, for which the Patel of the village should be held responsible.

Put 30-9-96

U/S/G Secretary

To The Dy Commr
Alipore Virtual
returning the record